

**United States District Court, Eastern District of Washington**  
**Magistrate Judge Alexander C. Ekstrom**  
**Yakima**

**USA v. PRESTON K.  
FUNDERBURGH**

**Case No. 1:25-MJ-4071-ACE**

**Initial Appearance on Complaint:**

**04/30/2025**

- |   |   |
|---|---|
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Pam Howard, Courtroom Deputy [Y]                      | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Courtney Pratten, US Atty       |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Erica Helms, US Probation / Pretrial Services Officer | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Jennifer Barnes, Defense Atty   |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Defendant present in custody USM                      | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Interpreter <b>NOT REQUIRED</b> |

- 
- |  |  |
|--|--|
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> USA Motion for Detention   | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Rights given                                 |
| <input type="checkbox"/> USA not seeking detention   | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Acknowledgment of Rights filed               |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Financial Affidavit (CJA 23) filed   | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Defendant received copy of charging document |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> The Court will appoint the Federal Defenders                                 | <input type="checkbox"/> Defendant waived reading of charging document           |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Based upon conflict with Federal Defenders, the Court will appoint a CJA Panel Attorney | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Charging document reviewed in open court     |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Supplemental PRE-Trial Services Report ordered                               | <input type="checkbox"/> POST Pre-Trial Services Report ordered                  |

**REMARKS**

The Defendant appeared and acknowledged to the Court that their true and correct name is: PRESTON K. FUNDERBURGH.

Defendant was assisted by counsel and advised of their rights and the allegations contained in the charging document.

Defense argues this charge does not warrant a detention hearing but if the Court finds in favor of the Government's filed Motion for Detention Hearing, Defense requests a week.

**The Court ordered:**

1. Defendant represents a danger of obstruction and warrants a Detention Hearing be held. Defendant shall be detained by the U. S. Marshal until further order of the Court.
2. As required by Rule 5(f), the United States is ordered to produce all information required by *Brady v. Maryland* and its progeny. Not doing so in a timely manner may result in sanctions, including exclusion of evidence, adverse jury instructions, dismissal of charges, and contempt proceedings. Order forthcoming.

**Detention Hrg:**

**05/09/2025**

**@ 9:00 a.m. [Y/ACE]**

**Preliminary Hrg:**

**05/14/2025**

**@ 10:00 a.m. [Y/ACE]**